

The Zero Divisor Graphs of a finite Certain Rings

Dr.Nazar H. Shuker*

Dr. Husam Q. Mohammad**

Abstract

For each commutative ring we associate a graph $\Gamma(R)$. In this paper we consider the zero divisor graphs of certain finite rings, and we characterize the complete bipartite zero divisor graph of finite commutative rings.

المخلص

لكل حلقة ابدالية R ، نمثل البيان $\Gamma(R)$. في هذا البحث درسنا بيانات قواسم الصفر للحلقات المنتهية، وكذلك اعطينا تمييزا لبيانات قواسم الصفر الثنائي التجزئة التام للحلقات المنتهية.

Keywords: zero divisor graph, regular ring, bipartite graph, diameter of the graph.

1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper , any ring R is a commutative with identity. We write $Z(R)^*$ the set of all non zero zero- divisor of a ring R . Recall from [1] that the zero divisor graph of R , denoted by $\Gamma(R)$, is the graph whose vertex set of all nonzero zero-divisors of R and distinct vertices x,y are joined by an edge in this graph if and only if $xy=0$.

*Prof / Dept. Mathematics / Computer Sciences and Mathematics College / Mosul University

** Assist Prof / Dept. Mathematics / Computer Sciences and Mathematics College / Mosul University

Received Date 24/9/2013 ————— Accept Date 21/11/2013

The Zero Divisor Graphs of a finite Certain Rings

The notion of the zero divisor graph $\Gamma(R)$ was firstly introduced by I. Beck [3] , where his motive was coloring of the graph. This work had been extended to commutative semi-group by De Mayer, Mckanzie and Schneider [5]. The zero divisor graphs also studied by Anderson and Livinston [1]. They found that $\Gamma(R)$ is always connected.

We recall that a graph G is said to be a bipartite if it's vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets U and V such that every edge connects a vertex in U to one in V ; that is U and V are each independent sets. A graph G is said to be complete bipartite if every vertex of U is connected to every vertex of V . If $|U|=m$ and $|V|=n$, the complete bipartite graph will be denoted by $K_{m,n}$. Note that $K_{1,n}$ is called a star graph.

2. The Diameter of $\Gamma(R)$.

In this section we consider $\Gamma(R)$ with diameter less than or equal to 2. Recall that in a graph G , the distance between two vertices u and v , $d(u,v)$ is the length of the shortest path joining u and v . The diameter of G , denoted by $\dim(G)$, is the maximum distance among all pairs of vertices in G .

We start this section with the following result.

Theorem 2.1: Let R be a finite ring with $\dim(\Gamma(R)) \leq 2$. Then R is a local ring or $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are fields.

Proof : Since R is a finite ring, then $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n$, where R_i are local ring for all $i \geq 1$.

If $n \geq 3$, then $Z_1=(0,1,1,\dots,1)$ is only adjacent with $(1,0,0,\dots,0)$, and $Z_2=(1,0,1,\dots,1)$ is only adjacent with $(0,1,0,\dots,0)$. Since Z_1 and Z_2 do

not have a common annihilator, $\dim(\Gamma(R))=3$ which is a contradiction, therefore $n=2$ or 1 .

Assume that $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$, if R_1 and R_2 are local rings but not a field. Let $z \in Z(R_1)^*$, $w \in Z(R_2)^*$. Consider the zero-divisor $z_1=(z,1)$ and $w_1=(1,w)$. The shortest path between z_1 and w_1 must be of length 3, and hence $\dim(\Gamma(R))=3$ which is a contradiction.

If R_1 is a local ring but not a field and R_2 is a field, let $x_1, x_2 \in Z(R_1)^*$, such that $x_1 x_2 = 0$. Consider the zero-divisor $z_1=(x_1,1)$ and $z_2=(1,0)$. The shortest path between z_1 and z_2 must be length 3, and hence $\dim(\Gamma(R))=3$ which is a contradiction. So that R is local or $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$. ■

Recall that, a ring R is said to be regular, if for every $a \in R$, there exists $b \in R$ such that $a = aba$. Clearly $(ab)^2 = abab = ab$. Therefore ab is an idempotent element in R .

Definition 2.2: We call a ring R , satisfies a property (*) if every orthogonal idempotent elements e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n we have $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = 1$ for all positive integers $n \geq 2$.

The following result establish the relation between regular ring R and the $\dim(\Gamma(R))$.

Theorem 2.3: Let R be a finite regular ring satisfying condition (*), then $\dim(\Gamma(R)) \leq 2$.

Proof : Let x, y be a distinct zero divisor elements in R . If $xy=0$, then $d(x,y)=1$. Suppose that $xy \neq 0$, since R is regular, there exists $0 \neq e = e^2$, $0 \neq f = f^2 \in R$ such that $x = xe$ and $y = yf$, this implies that $x(1-e)=0$ and $y(1-f)=0$. If $ef=0$, then $xy = xeyf = xyef = 0$ which is a contradiction. If $ef \neq 0$, since R satisfying condition (*), then $(1-e)(1-f) \neq 0$, so that $x(1-e)(1-$

The Zero Divisor Graphs of a finite Certain Rings

$f=0$ and $y(1-e)(1-f)=0$. This means that the path between x,y is $x \rightarrow (1-e)(1-f) \rightarrow y$, hence $d(x,y)=2$. Therefore $\dim(\Gamma(R)) \leq 2$. ■

The following example shows that the condition "regular ring, R satisfies a condition (*) or R finite ring" in Theorem 2.3 is not superfluous

Example 1:

- 1- $R = \mathbb{Z}_{30}$ is a finite regular ring but is not satisfying condition (*), then $\dim(\Gamma(R))=3$.
- 2- If $R = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}_{18}$, then R is a finite ring and not regular which satisfy the condition (*) and hence $\dim(\Gamma(R))=3$.
- 3- Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$, then R is a infinite ring with $\Gamma(R)$ is complete bipartite graph and R not regular. ■

Before giving the main result of this section, the following theorem which are due to Axtell, Stickles and Trampbachls [2] is needed.

Lemma 2.4: Let R be a finite ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1- $Z(R)$ is an ideal;
- 2- $Z(R)$ is a maximal ideal;
- 3- R is local;
- 4- Every $x \in Z(R)$ is nilpotent;
- 5- There exists $b \in Z(R)$ such that $bZ(R)=0$.

Theorem 2.5: Let R be a finite ring, then

- 1- $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 0$ iff $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$.
- 2- $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 1$ iff $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ or R is local ring with $(Z(R))^2 = 0$.
- 3- $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 2$ iff $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$ with $R \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ or local with $(Z(R))^2 \neq 0$, where F_1 and F_2 are field.
- 4- For all cases except (1), (2) and (3), $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 3$.

Proof : (1) Since $\Gamma(R)$ connected and $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 0$, then $\Gamma(R)$ has exactly one vertex so that by [4] $R \cong Z_4$ or $Z_2[X]/(X^2)$

(2) Let $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 1$, then $\Gamma(R)$ is complete graph and therefore $R \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$ or $xy=0$ for all $x,y \in Z(R) \setminus \{1\}$. If $R \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$ we are done . If $xy=0$ for all $x,y \in Z(R)$, then by Lemma 2.4 R local and hence if $(Z(R))^2 \neq 0$, then there exists $a,b \in Z(R)$ such that $ab \neq 0$ which is a contradiction . Therefore $(Z(R))^2 = 0$.

(3) If $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 2$, then by Theorem 2.3 R is local ring or $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$. If $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, we are done. If R local, then by Lemma 2.4 there exists $b \in Z(R)$ such that $bZ(R) = 0$,so that $\dim(\Gamma(R)) \leq 2$, if $(Z(R))^2 = 0$, then $\dim(\Gamma(R)) = 1$ which is a contradiction , therefore $(Z(R))^2 \neq 0$

(4) Since $\dim(\Gamma(R)) \leq 3$ for any ring [1, Theorem 2.3]. ■

3. Complete Bipartite Zero-Divisor Graph.

In this section we investigate a complete bipartite zero divisor graph $(\Gamma(R))$.

First we state the following result of [2]

Lemma 3.1: Let R a finite ring such that $\Gamma(R) = K_{1,n}$ with center a . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1- $1 - Z(R)$ is an ideal;
- 2- $a^2 = 0$;
- 3- $R \cong Z_4, Z_8, Z_9, Z_2[X]/(X^2), Z_2[X]/(X^3), Z_3[X]/(X^2)$ or $Z_4[X]/(2X, X^2 - 2)$.

The Zero Divisor Graphs of a finite Certain Rings

Proposition 3.2: Let R be a finite ring with $\Gamma(R)$ is complete bipartite, then $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$ or A , where F_1 and F_2 are fields and $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$, \mathbb{Z}_8 , \mathbb{Z}_9 , $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3[X]/(X^2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]/(2X, X^2-2)$.

Proof : Since $\Gamma(R)$ is complete bipartite, then $\dim(\Gamma(R))=2$, and hence $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$ or R is a local ring Theorem 2.3. If $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$ we are done. If R local, then by Lemma 2.4 there exists $b \in Z(R)^*$ such that b is adjacent with every other vertices. So that $\Gamma(R)$ is star with center b . Therefore by Lemma 3.1 $R \cong A$. ■

Theorem 3.3 : Let R be a finite ring with $\Gamma(R)$ is complete bipartite, then

- 1- If R reduced, then $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, and hence R is regular.
- 2- R satisfying condition (*). In particular, the only idempotent elements of R are $0, 1, e, 1-e$.

Proof : (1) Since R reduced ring and $\Gamma(R)$ complete bipartite graph, then applying Proposition 3.2, $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$. Therefore R is regular ring,

(2) **Claim 1:** R satisfying (*) condition, where $n=2$.

Let $0, 1, e, f$ be any orthogonal idempotent elements in R and $\Gamma(R)$ complete bipartite, then we can write $\Gamma(R) = A \cup B$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and for every two vertices from different partition class are adjacent and for every two vertices from same partition class are non-adjacent. Now if $e \in A$, then $f \in B$. Now since $e = e^2$, then $e(1-e) = 0$ and hence $(1-e) \in B$. Similarly $1-f \in A$. Therefore $(1-e)(1-f) = 0$ which implies that $e+f=1$ so that R satisfying condition (*), where $n=2$ and $f=1-e$.

Claim 2: Let there exists idempotent elements $g^2=g \in R$ with $g \neq 0, 1, e$ or $(1-e)$, since $\Gamma(R)$ complete bipartite graph, and $e(1-e)=0$, then either

$ge=0$ or $g(1-e)$, without loss generality, let $(1-g)e=0$, then $ge \neq 0$ and so that $g, e \in A$ or B . Let $g, e \in A$, then $(1-g), (1-e) \in B$ and hence $g(1-e) = e(1-g) = 0$, so that $g=e$ which is a contradiction. Therefore the only idempotent elements in R is $0, 1, e, 1-e$.

From Claims 1 and 2, we conclude that R satisfying (*) condition ■

The condition " R is reduced" in Theorem 3.3(1) is not superfluous

Example 2: $R = \mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^3)$ is not a reduced ring with $\Gamma(R)$ complete bipartite graph and R not regular ring. ■

Proposition 3.4: Let R be a finite ring, then R is regular ring satisfying condition (*) if and only if R is reduced with $\Gamma(R)$ is complete bipartite

Proof : Suppose that R is regular ring satisfying (*) condition, then obviously R is reduced and by Theorem 2.3, $\dim(\Gamma(R)) \leq 2$ and by Theorem 2.1 we have $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$ which implies that $\Gamma(R)$ is complete bipartite.

Conversely, assume R be a reduced ring with $\Gamma(R)$ complete bipartite, then by Theorem 3.3, R is regular satisfying condition (*). ■

References

- [1] D.D. Andersen and P. S. Livingston, "The zero divisor graph of a commutative ring". Journal of Algebra 217, (1999), pp. 434-447.
- [2] M. Axtell, J. Stickles and W. Trampbachls, "Zero-divisor ideals and realizable zero-divisor graphs", Involve a journal of Mathematics. Vol. 2, No. 1, (2009) pp.17–27
- [3] I. Beck, "Coloring of commutative ring". Journal of Algebra 116, (1988), pp. 208-226.
- [4] G. Carbas and D. Williams, "Rings of Order p^5 . I Nonlocal Rings", Journal of Algebra 231(2), (2000) pp. 677-690.
- [5] F. R. De Meyer T. Mckanzie and K. Schneider, 'The Zero Divisor Graph of a Commutative Semigroups', Semigroup Forum Vol. 65, (2002) pp. 206-214.